West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee



Title:	Agenda		
Date:	Thursday 13 April 2017		
Time:	6.00 pm		
Venue:	Council Chamber District Offices College Heath Road Mildenhall		
Full Members:		<u>St Edmundsbury</u> <u>Borough Council</u>	<u>Forest Heath</u> <u>District Council</u>
	<u>Conservative</u> <u>Members (4)</u>	Jim Thorndyke	Chris Barker David Bowman Rona Burt
	Charter Member (1)	Bob Cockle	
	<u>UKIP Member (</u> 1)	John Burns	
Substitutes:	<u>Conservative</u> <u>Members (</u> 2)	Angela Rushen	Brian Harvey
Interests – Declaration and Restriction on Participation:	Members are reminded of their responsibility to declare any disclosable pecuniary interest not entered in the Authority's register or local non pecuniary interest which they have in any item of business on the agenda (subject to the exception for sensitive information) and to leave the meeting prior to discussion and voting on an item in which they have a disclosable pecuniary interest.		
Quorum:	Three Members		
Committee administrator:	Helen Hardinge Democratic Services Officer Tel: 01638 719363 Email: helen.hardinge@westsuffolk.gov.uk		

Agenda

Procedural Matters

Part 1 - Public

- 1. Election of Chairman for 2016/2017
- 2. Election of Vice-Chairman for 2016/2017
- 3. **Apologies for Absence**

4. **Substitutes**

The Member who is substituting for another Member should so indicate together with the name of the relevant absent Member.

5. **Public Participation**

Members of the public who live or work in the District are invited to put one question or statement of not more than three minutes duration relating to items in Part 1 of the agenda only. If a question is asked and answered within three minutes the person who asked the question may ask a supplementary question that arises from the reply. A person wishing to speak must register to speak at least 15 minutes before the time the meeting is scheduled to start. There is an overall time limit of 15 minutes for public speaking which may be extended at the Chairman's discretion.

6. **Minutes** 1 - 4

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 19 August 2015 (copy attached).

7. **Appointment of Independent Person(s)**

5 - 10

Report No: JST/JT/17/001

West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee



Minutes of a meeting of the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee held on Wednesday 19 August 2015 at 6.00 pm at the Council Chamber, District Offices, College Heath Road, Mildenhall, IP28 7EY

Present: Councillors

St Edmundsbury Borough Council Forest Heath District Council

John BurnsDavid BowmanBob CockleRona BurtJim ThorndykeBill Sadler

16. Election of Chairman for 2015/2016

This being the first meeting of the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee since both Authority's Annual Meetings in May, the Monitoring Officer opened the meeting and asked for nominations for the Chairman of the Committee for 2015/2016.

Members were advised that whilst nothing was stipulated in the Terms of Reference, it had been practice since the Joint Committee was formed in 2012 for the chairmanship to be annually rotated between the two authorities. The chairmanship for 2014/2015 had been held by Forest Heath District Council.

Councillor Rona Burt nominated Councillor David Bowman as Chairman and this was seconded by Councillor Bill Sadler.

Councillor Bob Cockle also nominated Councillor Jim Thorndyke as Chairman and this was seconded by Councillor John Burns.

Each nomination was then put to the vote. With 2 voting for Councillor Bowman (with 4 abstentions) and with 3 voting for Councillor Thorndyke (with 3 abstentions), it was

RESOLVED:

That Councillor Jim Thorndyke be elected Chairman for 2015/2016.

Councillor Thorndyke then took the Chair for the remainder of the meeting and requested nominations for the election of the Vice-Chairman.

17. Election of Vice-Chairman for 2015/2016

Councillor Rona Burt nominated Councillor David Bowman as Vice-Chairman and this was seconded by Councillor Bob Cockle, and with the vote being unanimous, it was

RESOLVED:

That Councillor David Bowman be elected as Vice-Chairman for 2015/2016.

18. **Apologies for Absence**

There were no apologies for absence.

19. **Substitutes**

There were no substitutes at the meeting.

20. **Public Participation**

There were no questions/statements from members of the public.

21. Minutes

On consideration of the minutes of the meeting held on 13 April 2015 Councillor Bob Cockle raised a question with regard to Minute Number 11. (Public Participation) and asked if the lady who had addressed the meeting had ever received a formal written apology in respect of the complaint she made concerning a District Councillor.

The Monitoring Officer explained that to her knowledge the written apology had never been issued. However, the Member in question was no longer a District Councillor, having failed to have been re-elected in May 2015.

The minutes of the meeting held on 13 April 2015 were then unanimously accepted by the Committee as an accurate record and were signed by the Chairman.

22. Exclusion of the Press and Public

With the vote being unanimous, it was

RESOLVED:

That, under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as prescribed in Part 1 Section 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 and indicated against each item.

23. Code of Conduct Investigation (Exempt - para 1) (Report No JST/JT/15/002)

The Monitoring Officer presented this report which requested that the Joint Committee consider whether there had been a breach of the Code of Conduct in this case and, if so, what sanction to impose.

Members considered the report of the Investigating Officer and the report of the Independent Person together with all supporting documentation.

The Monitoring Officer explained that during the investigation it was established that the Member in question did not fail to declare a disclosable pecuniary interest against the omitted declaration; meaning that the Member did not break the law in respect of the Localism Act 2011.

The Joint Committee were of the opinion that there had been a breach of the Code of Conduct, however, they considered this to have been a genuine mistake and welcomed the Member's willingness to receive refresher training.

The Joint Committee also asked the Monitoring Officer to send a bulletin to all West Suffolk Councillors reminding them of their responsibility to ensure that their Register of Interests was kept up to date.

With the vote being unanimous, it was

RESOLVED:

That, having considered the report of the Independent Person, the Joint Committee found that there had been a breach of the Code of Conduct and that the Monitoring Officer be instructed to arrange appropriate training for the Member.

The meeting concluded at 6.26 pm

Signed by:

Chairman



West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee



Title of Report:	Appointment of Independent Person(s)			
Report No:	JST/JT/17/001			
	West Suffol Standards (13 April 2017	
Lead officer:	Leah Mickleborough Monitoring Officer Tel: 01284 757162 Email: leah.mickleborough@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	The purpose of this report is to seek the endorsement of the Joint Standards Committee towards the recommended process for recruitment of a new Independent Person, or Persons, for West Suffolk.			
Recommendation:	It is recommended that, the Joint Standards Committee: (1) Agrees the process to appoint the Independent Person, as outlined at paragraph 1.1.8 below; and (2) Advises of their preference for the payment of the Independent Person, as outlined in paragraph 1.1.9 below			
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠			
Consultation:		The current Independent Persons have been informed of this report		
Alternative option(s)): No	Not applicable		
Implications:		<u> </u>		
Are there any financial implicati If yes, please give details			D ⊠	
Are there any staffing implication If yes, please give details		? Yes □ No) ×	
Are there any ICT implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No		

Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No ⊠	
Are there any equality implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No ⊠	
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)	
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)
Risk that the Council cannot appoint highly qualified new Independent Persons	Low	We will seek to advertise the appointment in an appropriate manner to bring attention to a wide audience	Low
Ward(s) affected:		All Wards	
Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		None	
Documents attached:		None	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 The Localism Act 2011 requires that each Local Authority appoints at least one Independent Person whose views must be sought, and taken into account before a decision is made on an allegation that a District, Parish or Town Councillor has breached the Code of Conduct. In addition, they can provide their views to the Local Authority on other Councillor Conduct matters, and provide their views to a Councillor that is subject to a complaint.
- 1.1.1 The Localism Act role was then expanded by the Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2015, which requires that should the Local Authority undertake disciplinary or dismissal action against its statutory officers, an Independent Panel, including at least two Independent Persons, must give their views and recommendations to the Authority. The Regulations set out that the Independent Persons should be the same people as are appointed by the Authority under the Localism Act and, if we only have one Independent Person, we would be required to use an Independent Person appointed by another Local Authority for that purpose.
- 1.1.2 In 2012, ahead of the introduction of the new Standards Regime, Local Authorities within Suffolk undertook a joint exercise to recruit Independent Persons. Through that exercise, 8 Independent Persons were appointed across Suffolk, but none resided in West Suffolk and it was felt that they did not represent West Suffolk effectively.
- 1.1.3 With this in mind, it was agreed by the Joint Standards Committee to seek new appointments for West Suffolk. 2 candidates were successfully appointed by the Council in June 2014.
- 1.1.4 These appointments are now due to expire, and one of the candidates, Mrs Joy Inameti, has decided to stand down from the role due to work commitments. Both Mrs Inameti, and Mr Arnold Barrow, the other Independent Person, have developed strong working relationships with the Monitoring Officer during the term of their appointment and have taken a much valued, pragmatic approach to their role.
- 1.1.5 The Council is legally required to have an Independent Person. It is not considered feasible to resume participation in the Suffolk-wide pool at this stage as the current members of the Pool were not recruited for West Suffolk, and therefore a formal recruitment process is required.
- 1.1.6 Officers recognise that members may wish to still seek candidates who represent the area of West Suffolk; the Independent Person serves as an arbiter on what expectations may be regarding the conduct of Councillors elected by the public. However, that has to necessarily be balanced against ensuring that the Independent Person has the skills, experience and knowledge to be able to provide sound judgement on often complex matters. It is therefore proposed that the recruitment process will encourage Independent Persons from West Suffolk, but candidates who have the suitable experience will be considered from outside of the Borough / District boundaries.

- 1.1.7 The Localism Act requires that any Independent Person must not be, or have been within the past 5 years a member, co-opted member or officer of the Council or any of the Parish or Town Councils in the Councils' area; nor should they be a relative or close friend of a member, co-opted member or officer in the Councils' area. The Council must advertise the vacancy in such a manner as the authority considers is likely to bring it to the attention of the public, and the applicant must have completed an application form for the role.
- 1.1.8 Officers are recommending the following process for recruiting to an Independent Person:

Advertisement of role and application	24 April – 8 May	The role would be advertised online, via advert and press release. Application would be via cv and submission of an application form (this would be tailored to the role)
Shortlisting	w/c 8 May	An initial sifting to rule out clearly ineligible candidates would be conducted by the Monitoring Officer. The Monitoring Officer would then send recommendations to the Chair and Vice-Chair for consideration. The shortlisting would be time-pressured, to ensure candidates were notified in advance of interviews, and therefore it will not be feasible to have a wider committee consultation at that stage
Interviews	w/c 15 May	Interviews would be informal in nature and primarily be a discussion between the Monitoring Officer, Chairman and candidates
Standards Committee meet to recommend appointment to Full Council	w/c 29 May	If a meeting of the Committee is not feasible to convene before Council, recommendations could be circulated by e-mail and on the proviso no fundamental objections are received, the appointments are recommended to Council.
Councils make appointments	13 and 14 June	

1.1.9 As identified above, Mr Arnold Barrow continues to serve in post, having been first appointed as an independent person from July 2012 as part of the Suffolk Pool, and then being re-appointed as part of the West Suffolk recruitment exercise in July 2014. Mr Barrow would require re-appointment by Council in June to continue as an Independent Person. The legislation does not place any time-restrictions on individuals serving in the role.

- 1.1.10 When making a recommendation to Council, members of the Committee may wish to put time limits on the appointment term.
- 1.1.11 In addition to the recruitment process, the Committee will also need to consider the payment of Independent Persons. At present, each Independent Person is paid an annual retainer of £300 (each Council funding one payment), and then £50 per case considered (each Council paying the share related to their own, and their Parish / Town Council complaints). This inevitably leads to a certain degree of arbitrary distribution by the Monitoring Officer, in that some complaints require lengthy consideration whilst others are much more straightforward in their nature. It is therefore suggested that either the present payment situation continues, or the Council pays a set annual rate (proposed at £25 per hour) for their work.

